6/28/69

Dear George,

Glad to get your good analysis on Alvarez and your letter of 6/21. Gary had sent me the Menaker corres and I read it while on the train, on a short trip. I found some of it is pertinent to a special interest I have. If you could please sent me the clearest possible copies of the page on which he claims the camera-motion is his discovery and the page on which he says there is nothing to the unattributed suggestion of different camera speed, I'd appreciate it.

Of course, I'm still interested in the Bringuier material, but there is now no rush. I'll eventually return to him, but now I'm busy on other things.

The fact is that while I regard it as valuable and important for such studies as yours to be made of every possible aspect, in my own work I have advanced to other areas and regard these analysis of the past as if historical interest. Which is not to say they are not important, for they really are. I only wish there were more people to have done as you have with this.

The abuses of science by the exalted is disgusting.

I will look forward to anything else you may do on this honored fink, especially to any other of his letters, in which, as I've indicated, I find my own interests that are not directly related to the use of his "work".

Before leaving on this trip I'd written about 15,000 words of the addition to COUP. I hope to complete the writing by the end of next week. In itself it will be book length. Gary has probably told you I've also done two other books I cannot afford to print and cannot get published commercially. I've several others in the works.

One minor suggestion: it is my recollection the 2.3 second time is without regard for that time require prior to the sound of the first shot. In other words, I think it does not include the time for sighting, perhaps not even for the getting-on-target time. It is safe to sue it as you did, even better. But it is less than minimal.

Please excuse the haste, for I'm trying to catch up on the accumulated mail so I can return to writing today.

By the way, you once also promised me additional material on Wheat. While it is not urgent for my work, I'd like to have it if it presents no problem to you, again, not in any rush.

And again, thanks.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

Noticed I repeated your error and opened the envelope to add this. I have more immediate interest on Penabaz and would appreciate whatever you can send as soon as you can and, in the future, anything else you get. He will be of continuing interest to me. I have a few copies of "Insight" (Ugh!) I'm interested in any Cubans who've become active in US, espec. Repub. politics. He is but one.